

Length-weight Relationships of Fishes from Tributaries of the Volta River, Ghana: Part II and Conclusion

M.L.D. Palomares
M. Entsua-Mensah
A.Osei-Abunyewa

Abstract

Slopes and intercepts of length-weight relationships obtained for 37 populations from the rivers Oti, Pru and Black Volta were compared using a one way analysis of covariance with fixed effects. Although no significant differences were obtained from this analysis, an ANOVA comparing the magnitudes of mean condition factors ($W \cdot 100 / SL^3$) found 9 out of 37 populations significantly different at the 0.05 level. A two-way nested ANOVA using all populations combined, however, did not yield any significant differences between the three rivers. Thus, pooling the data to obtain the results presented in Part I (see Entsua-Mensah et al. Naga 1995) is justified here.

Introduction

In Part I of this study, data from the rivers Oti, Pru and Black Volta were pooled to obtain length-weight relationships without testing for possible differences in population samples. When data are sparse or insufficient for regression analysis, such practice is probably the best possible way of obtaining some results. However, underlying differences which could lead to important observations or which could account for low correlations are masked.

Testing for differences of regression coefficients or of differences in the magnitudes of means are necessary steps before pooling data coming from different samples. Statistical packages such as STATISTICA or SAS make such tests easier to handle and avoid tedious computations of the descriptive parameters needed in order to obtain F or t statistics. This paper presents a series of steps which could generally be implemented when data such as that presented in Entsua-Mensah et al. (1995) are available for testing.

Materials and Methods

Of the 45 fish species analyzed in Entsua-Mensah et al. (1995), only 37 were used here. The main criteria for inclusion are (i) a minimum sample number of 10 per river; a minimum required in ANOVA (see StatSoft 1994), and (ii) a minimum comparison between two rivers. The null hypothesis, i.e., that the slope of the length-weight relationships ($\log W = \log a + b \cdot \log SL$) of all three rivers do not differ significantly, is tested using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA, see Sokal and Rohlf 1995) with $\log W$ as dependent variable, $\log SL$ as covariate

and river as the fixed effects grouping variable. All samples from the 37 populations of fish species were processed through the commercial software STATISCA.

In order to ascertain the homogeneity of the populations among these three rivers, comparisons were performed using the condition factor ($cf = W \cdot 100 / SL^3$) of fish which relates values of W and SL . The test design used here is of a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the effect of "river" as a grouping variable. The same criteria mentioned above were used in choosing the populations used in this analysis.

Results and Discussion

The data used in ANOVA analysis are summarized in Table 1. The slopes of the length-weight relationships for all 37 populations of fish species among the three rivers are of the same magnitude.

However, nine out of the 37 populations have cf values differing significantly from the mean at the 0.05 level (i.e., *Bagrus docmak*, *Chrysichthys auratus*, *Synodontis filamentosus*, *S. ocellifer*, *S. vellifer*, *Raiamas senegalensis*, *Brycinus leuciscus*, *B. nurse* and *Marcusenius cyprinoides*). Further testing showed that four (*C. auratus*, *S. vellifer*, *B. leuciscus* and *B. nurse*) of these nine significant ANOVAs differ significantly (at 0.05 level) for the Levene's test of homogeneity of variances. This would call for a rejection of the ANOVA results and thus a conclusion that for these four populations, the means of cf are different in magnitude. However, it should be noted that the F-statistic in ANOVA provides a robust test of differences notably in cases when $n > 10$ and where the means across groups do not correlate with the standard deviations across groups.

Moreover, the rivers studied here were sites of the Onchocerciasis Control Program of the World Health Organization (WHO) which took effect in 1974 (WHO INTERNET Gopher). The WHO sprayed selected sites in the Volta Basin to reduce the impact of the river blindness disease by controlling the blackfly vector *Simulium damnosum* complex (Order Diptera, Family Simuliidae; see Entsua-Mensah et al. 1995). Benthic insect larvae, including those belonging to the Order Diptera, provide a major food source for demersal species like catfishes, characins and elephant fishes; species which turned out to have significantly different cf means. If these larvae were eradicated from rivers as a direct effect of the insecticide spray, then it is possible for fish populations,

Table 1. Summary of data from three Ghanaian rivers used in the ANCOVA and ANOVA test for difference in stages of linear regressions.

Family	Species	River	n	b	se	r	Log SL Means	Log W Means
Polypteridae	<i>Polypterus endlicheri</i>	Oti	24	2.70	0.12	0.93	5.05	3.27
		Pru	58				4.98	3.23
		Volta*	7					
Bagridae	<i>Bagrus bayad</i>	Oti	24	2.92	0.08	0.98	3.92	2.76
		Pru	28				4.53	2.98
		Volta*	26				3.98	2.80
	<i>Bagrus dogmac</i>	Oti	39	2.90	0.09	0.97	4.43	2.87
		Pru	33				4.37	2.89
		Volta*						
	<i>Chrysichthys auratus</i>	Oti	67	3.05	0.09	0.92	3.54	2.50
		Pru	58				2.94	2.38
		Volta	62				3.30	2.42
	<i>Chrysichthys nigrogidatus</i>	Oti	54	2.95	0.06	0.95	3.64	2.48
		Pru	151				3.53	2.48
		Volta	70				3.06	2.33
Mochokidae	<i>Synodontis filamentosus</i>	Oti	57	2.87	0.07	0.97	3.69	2.52
		Pru	12				3.34	2.47
		Volta	23				3.23	2.42
	<i>Synodontis gambiensis</i>	Oti	110	3.12	0.04	0.98	3.31	2.37
		Pru	150				3.38	2.40
		Volta	39				3.19	2.33
	<i>Synodontis occelifer</i>	Oti	108	2.90	0.05	0.95	3.17	2.31
		Pru	110				3.60	2.49
		Volta	65				2.74	2.19
	<i>Synodontis sorex</i>	Oti	42	2.92	0.08	0.98	3.99	2.57
		Pru	15				4.67	2.81
		Volta*	5					
	<i>Synodontis vellifer</i>	Oti	73	3.01	0.04	0.98	3.22	2.31
		Pru	112				3.15	2.26
		Volta	39				2.89	2.19
Cyprinidae	<i>Barbus macrops</i>	Oti	95	2.26	0.08	0.87	1.94	1.91
		Pru	137				2.04	1.95
		Volta	16				2.26	2.04
	<i>Labeo coubie</i>	Oti	95	3.08	0.08	0.96	3.76	2.49
		Pru*	8					
		Volta	24				3.66	2.47
	<i>Labeo parvus</i>	Oti	48	3.22	0.04	0.98	3.01	2.28
		Pru	150				3.88	2.55
		Volta*						
	<i>Labeo senegalensis</i>	Oti	123	3.07	0.03	0.99	3.79	2.55
		Pru*	6					
		Volta	143				3.62	2.51
	<i>Raimas senegalensis</i>	Oti	23	3.16	0.10	0.97	3.11	2.42
		Pru	18				3.59	2.58
		Volta	36				2.60	2.29
Characidae	<i>Alestes baremose</i>	Oti	27	3.24	0.05	0.99	1.40	1.11
		Pru*	3				1.42	1.11
		Volta	64					
	<i>Brycinus leuciscus</i>	Oti	199	2.70	0.05	0.91	1.98	1.91
		Pru	192				2.25	2.02
		Volta	105				2.13	1.96
	<i>Brycinus macrolepidotus</i>	Oti	105	2.94	0.03	0.98	3.50	2.52
		Pru	154				3.22	2.41
		Volta	25				3.46	2.51
	<i>Brycinus nurse</i>	Oti	198	2.93	0.03	0.98	2.95	2.28
		Pru	194				3.60	2.49
		Volta	79				3.24	2.36
	<i>Hydrocynius forskli</i>	Oti	51	3.04	0.07	0.98	3.92	2.77
		Pru*						
		Volta	43				3.75	2.70
Mormyridae	<i>Hippopotamyrus pictus</i>	Oti	72	2.91	0.04	0.98	2.91	2.45
		Pru	189				3.19	2.55
		Volta	10				2.97	2.44
	<i>Marcusenius cyprinoides</i>	Oti	10	2.93	0.11	0.98	2.76	2.42
		Pru*	160				3.08	2.51
		Volta	12				3.04	2.48
	<i>Petrocephalus simus-simus</i>	Oti	100	2.84	0.06	0.96	1.99	2.00
		Pru	101				2.04	2.03
		Volta	19				2.10	2.02
	<i>Pollimyrus isidori</i>	Oti	58	1.71	0.09	0.89	1.71	1.86
		Pru*						
		Volta	42				1.68	1.87
Schilbeidae	<i>Eutropius niloticus</i>	Oti	197	3.01	0.04	0.96	2.73	2.45
		Pru	120				2.93	2.54
		Volta	115				2.58	2.41
	<i>Physallia pellucida</i>	Oti	34	2.61	0.13	0.92	1.65	2.13
		Pru	22				2.01	2.16
		Volta	23				1.60	2.10

cont'd.

	<i>Schilbe mystus</i>	Oti	149	3.15	0.04	0.98	2.72	2.41
		Pru	131				2.95	2.48
		Volta	41				3.04	2.49
	<i>Siluranodon auritus</i>	Oti	47	2.82	0.13	0.90	2.19	2.28
		Pru	61				2.19	2.32
		Volta	18				2.24	2.27
Cichlidae								
	<i>Chromidotilapia guentheri</i>	Oti*						
		Pru	47	3.09	0.09	0.98	2.80	2.03
		Volta	13				2.29	1.88
	<i>Hemichromis fasciatus</i>	Oti	22	3.19	0.05	0.99	3.27	2.26
		Pru	55				3.39	2.27
		Volta	19				3.15	2.23
	<i>Tilapia zilli</i>	Oti*						
		Pru	10	3.19	0.07	0.99	3.27	2.16
		Volta	26				2.73	1.98
Anabantidae								
	<i>Ctenopoma kingslaye</i>	Oti	13	3.02	0.07	0.98	2.98	2.08
		Pru	55				3.00	2.09
		Volta	13				3.03	2.07
	<i>Lates niloticus</i>	Oti	47	2.78	0.20	0.90	3.62	2.51
		Pru*						
		Volta	11				3.97	2.60
Clariidae								
	<i>Clarias anguillaris</i>	Oti	80	2.91	0.09	0.94	4.48	3.02
		Pru	17				4.21	2.93
		Volta	31				4.68	3.08
Clupeidae								
	<i>Cynothrisa mento</i>	Oti	160	1.82	0.10	0.73	2.08	2.19
		Pru*						
		Volta	97				2.17	2.19
Distichodontidae								
	<i>Distichodus rostratus</i>	Oti	195	3.09	0.02	1.00	3.99	2.62
		Pru	105				4.61	2.82
		Volta*						

Note: se - standard error; r - correlation coefficient; b - slope

* Samples not included in the analyses

Table 2. Results of the two way ANOVA on condition factors of the pooled data sets for 14 populations of fish species (*Barbus macrops*, *Brycinus leuciscus*, *B. macrolepidotus*, *B. nurse*, *Eutropitus niloticus*, *Hippopotamyrus pictus*, *Marcusenius senegalensis*, *Petrocephalus simus simus*, *Parailia pellucida*, *Schilbe mystus*, *Siluranodon auritus*, *Synodontis filamentosus*, *S. gambiensis*, *S. vellifer*) sampled from the rivers Oti, Pru and Black Volta, Ghana.

Main effect:					
RIVER	Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	p-level
Effect	10.70	28	0.382 1	4.917	0.000
Error	303.7	3 908	0.077 72		

cantly different cf means. If these larvae were eradicated from rivers as a direct effect of the insecticide spray, then it is possible for fish populations, i.e., fish condition factors, to be affected. Given this information, the ANOVA results presented above can not be readily rejected.

A further step was thus required to establish homogeneity of the relationship between length and weight in all of the three river communities. A complete univariate ANOVA with two grouping variables (river and species) was performed for values of cf for those species with representative samples from all three rivers. The two way ANOVA test design yielded a non significant F-statistic (see Table 2) after exclusion of outliers detected in the plot of means vs. standard deviations. These results justify an acceptance of the null hypothesis and thus, the pooling of samples as done in Entsua-Mensah et al. (1995).

References

Entsua-Mensah, M., A. Osei-Abunyewa and M.L.D. Palomares. 1995. Length-weight relationships of fishes from tributaries of the Volta River, Ghana: Part I. Analysis

of pooled data sets. Naga, ICLARM Q. 18(1):36-38.

Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 3rd ed. 1995. Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W.H. Freeman and Co., USA, 850 p.

StatSoft, Inc. 1994. STATISTICA for Windows (Volume I): General conventions and statistics I. StatSoft, Inc., USA. pag. var.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. Daniel Pauly of UBC and Ms. Carmela Janagap of ICLARM for their advice and support.

M.L.D. PALOMARES is from ICLARM while M. ENT SUA-MENSAH and A. OSEI-ABUNYEW A are from the Institute of Aquatic Biology, PO Box 38, Achimota, Ghana.

ICLARM Contribution No. 1251