

g/cm³). Further subsamples were taken, with a moisture content of 11-12% at ambient temperature, and the strengths of expansion (DIN.52184, α_r radial and α_t tangential in %), compression and bending (DIN.52185 and DIN.52186, β_d and β_b in N/mm²) and elasticity (DIN.52186, E_b in N/mm²) determined (see Box). These measurements were kindly carried out by Messrs. Maulana, Ramli and Scharai-Rad of the Department of Wood Science and Technology in the Forestry Faculty of Mulawarman University in Samarinda, East Kalimantan.

Results

Durability

The unit construction costs and durability of cages constructed of various materials are given in Table 1 and the effects on wood quality of rotating the cage in Table 2. The most effective construction material was medang wood. It was relatively durable (1.5-2.5 years) and a 9-m³ cage cost Rp75,000 to build. *Litsea* spp. are relatively fast growing, common swamp species that cannot be used for other purposes, as they cause skin irritation and inflammation in birds, mammals and humans. No such negative effects were observed on fish.

Ironwood lasted twice as long, but is expensive and heavy. Ironwood cages are also difficult to maneuver. It is a scarce and valuable building material and the tree is slow-growing.

The monofilament nylon netting materials used were suitable, but were prone to damage by driftwood in large rivers and by some predators, as described by Beveridge (1987). In East Kalimantan, the moonrat and pufferfish (*Tetraodon* spp.) posed special problems for net cage culture. The moonrats are fish predators. They bite through the cage netting. Pufferfish were a lesser but still significant problem. They consumed *aufvuchs* growing on the cage net walls and their sharp teeth damaged the material.

Rotation

The effects of rotating cages on wood quality were significant (Table 2). The

Table 2. Effects of rotating an eight-sided wooden-framed net cage on the quality of medang wood (*Litsea* sp.) after an 18-month culture period in freshwater: for explanation of wood quality terminology and units, see Box.

Treatment	Density (g/cm ³)	Expansion		Strength		Elasticity E_b (N/mm ²)
		α_r (%)	α_t (%)	β_b (N/mm ²)	β_d (N/mm ²)	
New wood	0.72 ^a	4.23 ^a	8.71 ^a	84.1 ^a	46.7 ^a	12,103.5 ^a
No rotation	0.43 ^b	3.62 ^b	8.32 ^b	62.5 ^b	28.5 ^b	6,044.0 ^b
Rotated 2x weekly	0.46 ^c	3.76 ^b	8.42 ^b	69.0 ^c	36.8 ^c	8,506.0 ^c
Rotated 1x weekly	0.47 ^c	4.07 ^a	8.32 ^b	69.1 ^c	38.9 ^c	8,225.2 ^c
Rotated once biweekly	0.47 ^c	3.54 ^b	7.60 ^b	69.9 ^c	39.6 ^c	7,986.5 ^c
Rotated once in 4 weeks	0.43 ^b	3.71 ^b	8.40 ^b	63.5 ^b	31.3 ^b	7,484.0 ^c

* Figures in the same columns with different superscripted letters differ significantly from one another (P<0.05).

quality of cage wood after submersion was significantly lower than that of freshly-cut wood before use, irrespective of whether or not the former had been rotated. The wood from cages that had been rotated either once or twice weekly, or once every second week, was stronger than wood from cages that had been rotated every four weeks or not at all. Almost 20% of the frames in these last two cage categories were completely rotten after 18 months, whereas <2% of the others were in an equivalent condition.

Conclusions

Overall, there was considerable variation in the durability of these cage construction materials. Locally available mesh materials and bamboo lasted three to eight months. This contrasts with data on bamboo published elsewhere (Coche 1979; Beveridge 1987), where dried bamboo was described as lasting 1.5-2.0 years. The low durability observed in East Kalimantan was possibly due to the characteristics of the local bamboo species used or of the local water conditions, or both.

Although cage rotation here increased durability by 10-20%, this is not sufficient to make it of economic interest. The average medang wood cage lasts for two growing seasons (1.5-2.5 years) if maintained and repaired, whereas a rotated cage only lasts three to four months longer. This period is not as long as a full growing period, so that the fish would have to be transferred to a new cage,

with the risk of fish loss during the transfer process from a weakened cage.

This was indirectly confirmed. Fish farmers in East Kalimantan observed the trials and tested the techniques of their own accord. They abandoned rotation after one growing season because, in their own words, the savings in wood costs did not compensate adequately for the time spent in building more complex cages and rotating them.

Acknowledgements

The Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) GmbH financed this work under the auspices of the TAD (Technical Cooperation for Area Development) rural development project in East Kalimantan, Indonesia.



References

- Beveridge, M.C.M. 1987. Cage culture. Fishing News Books Ltd., Farnham, Surrey, UK. 352 p.
- Coche, A.G. 1979. A review of cage culture and its application in Africa, p. 428-441. In T.V.R. Pillay and W.A. Dill (eds.) Advances in aquaculture. Fishing News Books, Farnham, Surrey, UK. 653 p.

M.S. CHRISTENSEN is currently assigned by GTZ-GOPA as Project Leader of an inland fisheries development project in north-east Brazil (PAPEC, Caixa Postal 52731, CEP 60151.970 Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil).